## **EXHIBIT A**

1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	MDL NO. 1456
3	CA NO. 01-12257-PBS
4	
5	
_	IN RE:
6	AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE
7	PHARMACEUTICAL LITIGATION
8	
9	
10	BEFORE: The Honorable Patti B. Saris
11	
12	STATUS CONFERENCE
13	
14	
15	John Joseph Moakley United States Courthous
16	Courtroom No. 13 One Courthouse Way
	Boston, MA 02210 Monday, March 8, 2004
17	3:07 P.M.
18	
19	Cheryl Dahlstrom
20	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
21	595 Main Street, Room 514 Worcester, MA 01608-2076
22	Mechanical Steno - Transcript by Computer

Otherwise, whatever schedule you set, we're going to be where we are now. We just really haven't gotten very far, I hate to report to the Court, because of a time lapse. Ιt works both ways. They're not happy with our 4 responsiveness. The rule applies to us as well. I have a few other things 6 like this. THE COURT: I'm glad you reminded me of that. I do think -- one of the concerns I've had is on this issue οf ERISA preemption, not really knowing what's out there, 9 what the plans are, how much the plans will affect damages. 10 What 11 are the different kinds of plans? Are they hinged on AWP? 12 Aren't they? Normally, I'm not sympathetic to class 13 discovery. In this case it may be critical to understanding not just 14 preemption issues but also what's typical. And so I do 15 think you need to be responsive to producing plans at the 16 very least or a few -- I don't even know if there are different 17 kinds of ERISA plans even among the plaintiffs. 18 MR. BERMAN: All our plans are plans to --19 almost all of them have been deposed and are producing documents 20 already. THE COURT: All right. If there are a few --21 I don't know. I assume you're not going to take a deposition 22

of every

## Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 1101-2 Filed 10/18/04 Page 4 of 6

```
ERISA plan in America.

MR. WISE: No. I can answer that question no.

THE COURT: I'm just simply saying it may be helpful
```

49

That's	1	to find out if these plans are typical of other plans.
people	2	different from I'm not authorizing discovery of the
that's a	3	who have cancer who are getting the drugs. I think
defendants	4 s are	different situation, and I don't believe that
it's	5	looking for that. But I think the different while
	6	rare, I think this wouldn't be a bad case for it.
where the	7 re	MR. BERMAN: We agree that this is a case
before	8	has to be some discovery, a fair amount of discovery,
	9	the class motion is ripe, both sides.
a	10	THE COURT: Right. All I'm saying is you had
will	11	motion to preclude class discovery. While I certainly
receiving	12	grant that with respect to anybody who's sick and
the plans	13	drugs directly as part of a Medicare Part B, I think
	14	themselves are able to protect themselves. And they're
	15	usually not confidential, right? Aren't they usually
can	16	distributed to their members? There's nothing that I
	17	imagine that would be a problem with that.
burdensom	18 e	MR. WISE: It's not in our interest to be
enough	19	to that community. What we want to do is just develop
	20	information so we can present a sample of what

## Case 1:01-cv-12257-PBS Document 1101-2 Filed 10/18/04 Page 6 of 6

I	21	THE COURT: I think that's appropriate because
I was	22	remember looking at the case law on it. To some extent
here	23	not sure what the plans would provide. I think no one
	24	really probably was at that time other than the named
	25	plaintiffs. What's out there?